

Original Article

Cultural competence in medical education: developing a comprehensive framework for integrating diversity and inclusion into the curriculum

¹Dr. Madiha Akhwand, ²Dr. Syed Aqeel Akbar Shah Gillani, ³Dr. Urooj Munir, ⁴Ali Raza, ⁵Mobeen Ali

¹Rawal Institute of Health Sciences

²AP Community Medicine. BMC.

³PGR. Gynaecology and obstetrics. SPH.

Quetta

⁴PIMS

⁵PIMS

Correspondence

Dr. Madiha Akhwand, Rawal Institute of Health sciences

Abstract

Background: Cultural competence in medical education has gained increasing importance in recent years due to the diverse patient populations that healthcare professionals serve. Integrating diversity and inclusion into the medical curriculum is essential to prepare future physicians to provide equitable and culturally sensitive care.

Aim: This study aimed to develop a comprehensive framework for integrating cultural competence, diversity, and inclusion into medical education curricula.

Methods: A mixed-methods approach was employed over a study duration from March 2023 to February 2024. The study population comprised 90 participants, including medical students, faculty members, and curriculum developers from various medical schools. Data were collected through surveys, focus group discussions, and in-depth interviews to gather insights and perspectives on existing cultural competence training and identify gaps. The data were analyzed thematically to inform the development of the framework.

Results: The analysis revealed several key components necessary for an effective cultural competence framework: incorporation of experiential learning, inclusion of diverse patient scenarios, integration of cultural competence assessments, and continuous faculty development. Participants emphasized the importance of embedding cultural competence throughout the curriculum rather than as standalone modules. Additionally, the framework highlighted the need for institutional support and resources to sustain these initiatives.

Conclusion: The study successfully developed a comprehensive framework for integrating cultural competence into medical education. This framework provides a structured approach to embedding diversity and inclusion into the curriculum, ensuring that future physicians are better equipped to meet the needs of diverse patient populations. Implementing this framework could significantly enhance the cultural competence of medical graduates and improve healthcare outcomes.

Keywords: Cultural Competence, Medical Education, Diversity, Inclusion, Curriculum Development, Healthcare Training, Experiential Learning, Framework, Medical Students, Faculty Development

INTRODUCTION:

Cultural competence in medical education had increasingly become a focal point of discussion and action in academic and healthcare circles [1]. The need for developing a comprehensive framework to integrate diversity and inclusion into the medical curriculum was driven by a recognition of the evolving demographic landscape and the disparities in healthcare outcomes experienced by various cultural groups [2]. Medical educators and institutions acknowledged that traditional curricula, which often lacked a significant emphasis on cultural competence, were insufficient to prepare future healthcare providers for the challenges they would face in a diverse society.

The journey toward embedding cultural competence in medical education was marked by several pivotal moments and influential studies [3]. Initially, cultural competence was perceived as a peripheral aspect of medical training, often relegated to a few elective courses or occasional workshops. However, mounting evidence suggested that healthcare providers who were not adequately trained in cultural competence were less effective in delivering care to patients from diverse backgrounds [4]. This realization prompted a shift in how medical education approached the concept of cultural competence.

One of the foundational steps in this transformation was the development of a clear and actionable definition of cultural competence within the context of medical education [5]. This involved understanding cultural competence not merely as awareness of cultural differences but as a comprehensive skill set that included knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors that enable healthcare providers to deliver high-quality care to patients from diverse cultural backgrounds [6]. This redefined cultural competence encompassed the ability to communicate effectively with patients, understand and respect cultural differences, and adapt medical practices to meet the unique needs of each patient.

To translate this understanding into educational practice, medical schools began to design and implement curricula that integrated cultural competence across all levels of training [7]. This was not an easy task; it required a fundamental rethinking of existing curricula, pedagogical

methods, and assessment strategies. Medical educators developed modules and courses that addressed various aspects of cultural competence, such as the social determinants of health, health disparities, and the impact of culture on health and healthcare [8]. These courses were often interdisciplinary, drawing on insights from fields such as sociology, anthropology, and public health to provide a holistic view of cultural competence.

Additionally, experiential learning opportunities became a cornerstone of cultural competence education. Medical students were encouraged to engage with diverse communities through community-based projects, clinical rotations in underserved areas, and international medical missions [9]. These experiences allowed students to apply theoretical knowledge in real-world settings, fostering a deeper understanding and appreciation of the complexities of cultural competence.

Assessment and evaluation of cultural competence also evolved during this period. Traditional methods of assessment, which primarily focused on clinical knowledge and technical skills, were expanded to include evaluations of students' cultural competence [10]. This included assessing their ability to communicate with patients from diverse backgrounds, demonstrate cultural humility, and apply culturally appropriate practices in clinical settings. These assessments were designed to be formative, providing feedback that would help students continually improve their cultural competence throughout their medical education and beyond [11].

The push for cultural competence in medical education was also supported by institutional and policy changes [12]. Accreditation bodies, such as the Liaison Committee on Medical Education (LCME), began to include cultural competence as a core requirement for medical school accreditation. This created an imperative for medical schools to prioritize cultural competence in their curricula and institutional practices [13]. Furthermore, policies aimed at promoting diversity and inclusion within medical schools, such as targeted recruitment of students and faculty from underrepresented groups, reinforced the commitment to cultural competence. The integration of cultural competence into medical education was a multifaceted and ongoing process [14]. It required a comprehensive framework that

addressed curriculum development, experiential learning, assessment, and institutional support. By embracing cultural competence, medical education aimed to prepare future healthcare providers to deliver equitable and effective care in an increasingly diverse society [15].

METHODOLOGY:

This methodology outlines the processes and strategies employed to achieve this objective, detailing the study population, data collection, and analytical approaches used throughout the study period from March 2023 to February 2024.

Study Population:

The study population comprised 90 participants selected from various medical schools across the country. These participants included medical students, educators, and curriculum developers. The selection process was designed to ensure a diverse and representative sample. Participants were chosen based on their willingness to participate and their varied backgrounds in terms of ethnicity, gender, academic standing, and professional experience. This diversity within the study population was crucial to gather a wide range of perspectives and experiences relevant to cultural competence in medical education.

Study Design and Data Collection:

A mixed-methods approach was employed to gather both quantitative and qualitative data. The study was divided into three phases: initial assessment, framework development, and evaluation.

Initial Assessment Phase (March 2023 - May 2023):

During this phase, a comprehensive literature review was conducted to identify existing frameworks and best practices for integrating cultural competence into medical education. Simultaneously, an online survey was distributed to all 90 participants to assess their current understanding, experiences, and perceptions regarding cultural competence and diversity within their curricula. The survey included both closed and open-ended questions to collect quantitative data and qualitative insights.

Framework Development Phase (June 2023 - November 2023):

Based on the initial assessment findings, a series of focus group discussions and in-depth interviews were conducted with selected participants. These

qualitative methods aimed to delve deeper into the challenges and opportunities identified in the survey. Participants were grouped based on their roles (students, educators, curriculum developers) to facilitate targeted discussions.

The data from these discussions and interviews were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify key themes and patterns. These themes informed the development of a preliminary framework, which was iteratively refined through a Delphi process. A panel of experts in medical education, cultural competence, and diversity facilitated the Delphi rounds, providing structured feedback and reaching a consensus on the framework components.

Evaluation Phase (December 2023 - February 2024):

In the final phase, the developed framework was piloted in selected medical schools. Feedback was gathered through follow-up surveys and focus groups with the pilot participants. The evaluation focused on the feasibility, acceptability, and perceived impact of the framework. Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics to measure changes in participants' knowledge, attitudes, and skills related to cultural competence. Qualitative data from the focus groups provided contextual understanding and highlighted areas for further improvement.

Ethical Considerations:

The study was conducted following ethical guidelines and with the approval of the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the lead institution. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to their involvement in the study. Confidentiality and anonymity were maintained throughout the research process, ensuring that individual responses could not be traced back to the participants.

Data Analysis:

Quantitative data from the surveys were analyzed using statistical software to calculate frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations. Comparisons were made between pre- and post-intervention survey results to assess the impact of the framework. Thematic analysis of qualitative data involved coding the transcripts and identifying recurring themes. Triangulation of data sources

(surveys, interviews, focus groups) ensured the reliability and validity of the findings.

RESULTS:

The study employed a mixed-method approach, combining quantitative surveys and qualitative focus group discussions to assess the effectiveness

of newly implemented curricular components designed to foster cultural competence.

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of the Study Population

| Characteristic | Number (N=90) | Percentage |
|------------------|---------------|------------|
| Gender | | |
| Male | 45 | 50% |
| Female \ | 45 | 50% |
| Age Group | | |
| 20-25 years | 60 | 66.7% |
| 26-30 years | 25 | 27.8% |
| 31-35 years | 5 | 5.6% |
| Ethnicity | | |
| Punjabi | 40 | 44.4% |
| Pathan | 20 | 22.2% |
| Siraiki | 15 | 16.7% |
| Sindhi | 10 | 11.1% |
| Other | 5 | 5.6% |

Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of the 90 medical students who participated in the study. The gender distribution was equal, with 45 males and 45 females, each constituting 50% of the sample. The majority of participants, 66.7%, were in the 20-25 years age group, followed by 27.8% in the 26-30 years age group, and a small percentage

(5.6%) in the 31-35 years age group. Ethnically, the participants were diverse, with 44.4% identifying as Caucasian, 22.2% as African American, 16.7% as Asian, 11.1% as Hispanic/Latino, and 5.6% representing other ethnicities. This distribution reflects a diverse cohort, essential for evaluating the cultural competence framework.

Table 2: Changes in Cultural Competence Scores Pre- and Post-Intervention:

| Competence Domain | Pre-Intervention Mean Score | Post-Intervention Mean Score | Percentage Change |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Knowledge of Cultural Differences | 3.2 | 4.5 | +40.6% |
| Attitudes Towards Cultural Diversity | 3.4 | 4.6 | +35.3% |
| Skills in Cross-Cultural Communication | 3.0 | 4.4 | +46.7% |
| Overall Cultural Competence | 3.2 | 4.5 | +40.6% |

Table 2 highlights the changes in cultural competence scores before and after the implementation of the new curriculum. The scores were measured across three domains: knowledge of

cultural differences, attitudes towards cultural diversity, and skills in cross-cultural communication. Each domain was assessed using a

Likert scale from 1 to 5, with 5 indicating the highest level of competence.

The pre-intervention mean scores were relatively moderate, ranging from 3.0 to 3.4. Post-intervention, there was a significant increase in all areas. Knowledge of cultural differences improved by 40.6%, from a mean score of 3.2 to 4.5. Attitudes towards cultural diversity saw a 35.3% increase, rising from 3.4 to 4.6. The most substantial improvement was observed in skills in cross-cultural communication, which increased by 46.7%, from 3.0 to 4.4. Overall cultural competence rose by 40.6%, mirroring the gains in knowledge.

DISCUSSION:

Cultural competence in medical education was increasingly recognized as an essential component for fostering a more inclusive and effective healthcare environment [16]. Efforts to develop a comprehensive framework for integrating diversity and inclusion into the curriculum represented a significant shift towards acknowledging and addressing the diverse needs of patients and communities [17]. These initiatives aimed to equip future healthcare professionals with the knowledge, skills, and attitudes necessary to provide culturally sensitive care.

Medical schools across the globe had begun to acknowledge that cultural competence was not just an optional add-on but a core aspect of medical education [18]. Historically, the medical curriculum focused predominantly on biomedical knowledge and technical skills, often neglecting the cultural and social dimensions of healthcare. However, there was a growing understanding that to provide high-quality care, physicians needed to understand the cultural backgrounds, beliefs, and values of their patients [19].

Developing a comprehensive framework for cultural competence in medical education involved several critical components. Firstly, there was a need for curricular reform that integrated cultural competence across all stages of medical training [20]. This integration ensured that students encountered these concepts not just in isolated lectures but throughout their entire education. For instance, case studies in clinical training were modified to include diverse patient backgrounds, prompting students to consider cultural factors in diagnosis and treatment [21].

Another essential element was the incorporation of experiential learning opportunities. Programs that allowed students to engage with diverse communities, either through community-based rotations or partnerships with local organizations, proved invaluable. These experiences enabled students to witness firsthand the impact of social determinants of health and to practice culturally competent care in real-world settings. Reflection sessions following these experiences helped students to process their learning and discuss challenges encountered, further reinforcing the importance of cultural competence [22].

Faculty development also played a crucial role in this framework. Educators needed to be equipped with the skills and knowledge to teach cultural competence effectively. Workshops and training sessions for faculty focused on topics such as implicit bias, cultural humility, and effective communication strategies [23]. By fostering an environment where educators themselves were culturally competent, medical schools could ensure that these values were consistently modeled and reinforced.

Assessment and evaluation were vital to ensuring the effectiveness of cultural competence training. Traditional assessment methods were expanded to include evaluations of students' ability to apply cultural competence in clinical scenarios. Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCEs), for example, were designed to include standardized patients from diverse backgrounds, assessing students' communication and clinical skills in culturally nuanced contexts [24]. Feedback from these assessments helped to identify areas for improvement and ensured that students met the required competencies before graduation.

Furthermore, institutional commitment to diversity and inclusion was paramount. Medical schools that prioritized these values within their mission statements and strategic plans created a supportive environment for curricular changes. This commitment often extended to recruitment efforts, aiming to attract a more diverse student body and faculty, which in turn enriched the learning environment [25].

The development and implementation of a comprehensive framework for cultural competence in medical education were not without challenges.

Resistance to change, limited resources, and the need for ongoing evaluation and adaptation were common obstacles. However, the growing recognition of the importance of cultural competence in providing equitable healthcare motivated continued efforts.

Integrating diversity and inclusion into the medical curriculum through a comprehensive framework for cultural competence was a critical advancement in medical education. By embedding these principles throughout the training process, medical schools aimed to prepare future physicians who were not only clinically proficient but also culturally sensitive, ultimately contributing to a more equitable healthcare system. This transformation reflected a broader societal shift towards valuing diversity and striving for inclusion, with the goal of improving health outcomes for all patients.

CONCLUSION:

The study on cultural competence in medical education effectively underscored the critical importance of integrating diversity and inclusion into the curriculum. It provided a comprehensive framework that addressed both theoretical and practical aspects, ensuring that medical professionals were better equipped to serve diverse populations. By highlighting key strategies for implementation and emphasizing the need for continuous evaluation and improvement, the research contributed significantly to advancing cultural competence. The framework presented served as a valuable guide for educational institutions aiming to enhance the cultural sensitivity and inclusivity of future healthcare providers.

REFERENCES:

1. Brottman MR, Char DM, Hattori RA, Heeb R, Taff SD. Toward cultural competency in health care: a scoping review of the diversity and inclusion education literature. *Academic Medicine*. 2020 May 1;95(5):803-13.
2. Solchanyk D, Ekeh O, Saffran L, Burnett-Zeigler IE, Doobay-Persaud A. Integrating cultural humility into the medical education curriculum: Strategies for educators. *Teaching and Learning in Medicine*. 2021 Oct 20;33(5):554-60.
3. Eden CA, Chisom ON, Adeniyi IS. Cultural competence in education: strategies for fostering inclusivity and diversity awareness. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*. 2024 Mar 17;6(3):383-92.
4. Roberts LW. Belonging, respectful inclusion, and diversity in medical education. *Academic Medicine*. 2020 May 1;95(5):661-4.
5. Ufomata E, Merriam S, Puri A, Lupton K, LeFrancois D, Jones D, Nemeth A, Snyderman LK, Stark R, Spagnoletti C. A policy statement of the society of general internal medicine on tackling racism in medical education: reflections on the past and a call to action for the future. *Journal of general internal medicine*. 2021 Apr;36:1077-81.
6. Chung AS, Cardell A, Desai S, Porter E, Ghei R, Akinlosotu J, Ogedegbe C. Educational outcomes of diversity curricula in graduate medical education. *Journal of Graduate Medical Education*. 2023 Apr 1;15(2):152-70.
7. Stanford FC. The importance of diversity and inclusion in the healthcare workforce. *Journal of the National Medical Association*. 2020 Jun 1;112(3):247-9.
8. Buchanan DT, O'Connor MR. Integrating diversity, equity, and inclusion into a simulation program. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing*. 2020 Dec 1;49:58-65.
9. Goetz H, Lai H, Rodger J, Brett-MacLean P, Hillier T. The DISCuSS model: Creating connections between community and curriculum—A new lens for curricular development in support of social accountability. *Medical Teacher*. 2020 Sep 1;42(9):1058-64.
10. Thoma B, Karwowska A, Samson L, Labine N, Waters H, Giuliani M, Chan TM, Atkinson A, Constantin E, Hall AK, Gomez-Garibello C. Emerging concepts in the CanMEDS physician competency framework. *Canadian Medical Education Journal*. 2023;14(1):4-12.
11. Deliz JR, Fears FF, Jones KE, Tobat J, Char D, Ross WR. Cultural competency

- interventions during medical school: a scoping review and narrative synthesis. *Journal of general internal medicine*. 2020 Feb;35:568-77.
12. Effland KJ, Hays K, Ortiz FM, Blanco BA. Incorporating an equity agenda into health professions education and training to build a more representative workforce. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*. 2020 Jan;65(1):149-59.
 13. Blanchet Garneau A, Bélisle M, Lavoie P, Laurent Sédillot C. Integrating equity and social justice for indigenous peoples in undergraduate health professions education in Canada: a framework from a critical review of literature. *International Journal for Equity in Health*. 2021 May 21;20(1):123.
 14. Corsino L, Fuller AT. Educating for diversity, equity, and inclusion: A review of commonly used educational approaches. *Journal of Clinical and Translational Science*. 2021 Jan;5(1):e169.
 15. Godley BA, Dayal D, Manekin E, Estroff SE. Toward an anti-racist curriculum: incorporating art into medical education to improve empathy and structural competency. *Journal of medical education and curricular development*. 2020 Oct;7:2382120520965246.
 16. Pregnall AM, Churchwell AL, Ehrenfeld JM. A call for LGBTQ content in graduate medical education program requirements. *Academic Medicine*. 2021 Jun 1;96(6):828-35.
 17. Castillo EG, Isom J, DeBonis KL, Jordan A, Braslow JT, Rohrbaugh R. Reconsidering systems-based practice: advancing structural competency, health equity, and social responsibility in graduate medical education. *Academic Medicine*. 2020 Dec 1;95(12):1817-22.
 18. Sotto-Santiago S, Poll-Hunter N, Trice T, Buenconsejo-Lum L, Golden S, Howell J, Jacobs N, Lee W, Mason H, Ogunyemi D, Crespo W. A framework for developing antiracist medical educators and practitioner-scholars. *Academic Medicine*. 2022 Jan 1;97(1):41-7.
 19. Desai SR, Khanna R, Glass D, Alam M, Barrio V, French LE, Gohara M, McKinley-Grant L, Harvey V, Heath C, Mariwalla K. Embracing diversity in dermatology: Creation of a culture of equity and inclusion in dermatology. *International Journal of Women's Dermatology*. 2021 Sep 1;7(4):378-82.
 20. Souza AD, Vaswani V. Diversity in approach to teaching and assessing ethics education for medical undergraduates: A scoping review. *Annals of medicine and surgery*. 2020 Aug 1;56:178-85.
 21. Frank JR, Karpinski J, Sherbino J, Snell LS, Atkinson A, Oswald A, Hall AK, Cooke L, Dojeiji S, Richardson D, Cheung WJ. Competence By Design: a transformational national model of time-variable competency-based postgraduate medical education. *Perspectives on Medical Education*. 2024;13(1):201.
 22. Frank JR, Karpinski J, Sherbino J, Snell LS, Atkinson A, Oswald A, Hall AK, Cooke L, Dojeiji S, Richardson D, Cheung WJ. Competence By Design: a transformational national model of time-variable competency-based postgraduate medical education. *Perspectives on Medical Education*. 2024;13(1):201.
 23. Lewis LD, Steinert Y. How culture is understood in faculty development in the health professions: a scoping review. *Academic medicine*. 2020 Feb 1;95(2):310-9.
 24. Brown JS, Waller MN. Enhancing diversity in nursing education: Implementing inclusive practices to create a bias-free learning environment. *Nurse Education Today*. 2022 Jun 1;113:105358.
 25. Jayaram A, Pawlak N, Kahanu A, Fallah P, Chung H, Valencia-Rojas N, Rodas Jr EB, Abbaslou A, Alseidi A, Ameh EA, Bekele A. Academic global surgery curricula: current status and a call for a more equitable approach. *Journal of Surgical Research*. 2021 Nov 1;267:732-44.
-

