

Challenges and Solutions in Implementing Minimally Invasive Techniques in General Surgery: A Global Perspective

¹Dr Sarmud Latif Awan, ²Ali Raza, ³Mohib Ali

¹Associate Professor Department of General Surgery AJK Medical College Muzaffarabad.

²PIMS, Islamabad.

³PIMS, Islamabad.

ABSTRACT:

Background:

Minimally invasive techniques have revolutionized general surgery, offering numerous benefits such as reduced recovery times, decreased postoperative pain, and lower infection rates. However, the implementation of these techniques globally presents various challenges.

Aim: This study aimed to identify and analyze the challenges faced in implementing minimally invasive techniques in general surgery across different regions and to explore the solutions adopted to overcome these barriers.

Methods: A comprehensive observational study was conducted from December 2022 to December 2023, involving 90 general surgeons from diverse geographical locations. Data were collected through structured interviews, surveys, and analysis of surgical outcomes. The study focused on the availability of resources, training, infrastructure, and patient outcomes to understand the global implementation challenges and the solutions devised.

Results: The study population included surgeons from both developed and developing countries. Key challenges identified were limited access to advanced surgical equipment, inadequate training and skill development opportunities, and financial constraints. Surgeons in developing regions faced significant infrastructural and logistical hurdles. Solutions included international training programs, donation of equipment by global health organizations, and the development of telemedicine platforms to support remote guidance and training.

Conclusion: The implementation of minimally invasive techniques in general surgery faced substantial challenges, particularly in resource-limited settings. However, targeted interventions such as international collaboration, enhanced training programs, and technological support have shown promise in mitigating these barriers. Continued global efforts are essential to ensure the widespread adoption and success of these advanced surgical techniques.

Keywords: Minimally invasive surgery, general surgery, global health, surgical training, healthcare infrastructure, resource-limited settings, telemedicine, international collaboration.

INTRODUCTION:

Minimally invasive techniques (MITs) revolutionized the field of general surgery by offering numerous benefits over traditional open surgery. These techniques, which include laparoscopic and robotic surgeries, promised reduced postoperative pain, shorter hospital stays, faster recoveries, and fewer complications [1].

Despite these advantages, the global implementation of MITs faced a plethora of challenges that varied significantly across different regions and healthcare systems [2].

Initially, one of the foremost challenges in implementing minimally invasive techniques was the steep learning curve associated with these procedures. Surgeons who were traditionally trained in open surgery needed extensive additional training to become proficient in laparoscopic and robotic techniques [3]. This training was not only time-consuming but also required access to advanced simulation tools and mentoring by experienced practitioners. In many developing countries, such resources were scarce, further complicating the widespread adoption of MITs [4].

Additionally, the high cost of acquiring and maintaining the sophisticated equipment necessary for minimally invasive surgery posed a significant barrier. Hospitals needed to invest in specialized instruments, laparoscopic towers, and, more recently, robotic systems, which were prohibitively expensive for many institutions, particularly in low- and middle-income countries [5]. The financial constraints were compounded by the need for ongoing maintenance and the replacement of consumables, adding to the operational costs.

Another significant challenge was the disparity in healthcare infrastructure. In regions with underdeveloped healthcare systems, there was often a lack of the necessary facilities and support systems required to safely perform minimally invasive surgeries [6]. This included not only the physical infrastructure but also the availability of trained support staff such as anesthesiologists, operating room nurses, and technicians who were proficient in the new technologies.

Patient factors also played a role in the challenges faced during the global implementation of MITs [7]. In many areas, there was a general lack of awareness about the benefits of minimally invasive surgery among patients. This lack of awareness was sometimes coupled with cultural and socio-economic factors that influenced patient preferences and trust in new surgical methods [8]. Additionally, in some regions, the prevalence of advanced disease due to delayed medical consultation made patients less suitable candidates for minimally invasive approaches, which are often more effective in early-stage conditions.

Despite these challenges, several solutions were employed globally to facilitate the adoption of minimally invasive techniques in general surgery [9]. Comprehensive training programs and fellowships were established in various countries to address the educational gap. International collaborations and partnerships played a crucial role in this regard, with surgeons from resource-limited settings receiving training in more developed countries and then returning to their home countries to train others [10].

To mitigate the high costs associated with MITs, some countries adopted strategies such as bulk purchasing and centralized procurement of equipment to reduce expenses [11]. Additionally, innovations such as low-cost laparoscopic instruments and portable laparoscopic units were developed, making the technology more accessible to resource-constrained settings.

Efforts to improve healthcare infrastructure included investments in building and upgrading surgical facilities, as well as enhancing the availability of necessary support staff through targeted education and training programs [12]. Public health campaigns and patient education initiatives were also launched to raise awareness about the benefits of minimally invasive surgery, thereby increasing patient acceptance and demand for these techniques [13].

While the implementation of minimally invasive techniques in general surgery faced numerous challenges globally, a combination of education, financial strategies, infrastructure improvements, and patient

engagement initiatives helped to overcome many of these barriers [14]. The collaborative efforts of the global surgical community have been instrumental in expanding the reach of these advanced surgical techniques, ultimately improving patient outcomes and advancing the field of general surgery worldwide [15].

METHODOLOGY:

Study Design and Population:

This retrospective observational study was conducted to analyze the challenges and solutions in implementing minimally invasive techniques (MITs) in general surgery from a global perspective. The study population comprised 90 general surgeons who had adopted MITs in their practice. The surgeons were selected from various regions, including North America, Europe, Asia, Africa, and South America, ensuring a comprehensive representation of global practices. Participants were chosen based on their experience, with a minimum of five years of practicing general surgery and at least two years of implementing MITs. The selection criteria also included surgeons from both public and private healthcare settings to capture a diverse range of challenges and solutions.

Study Duration:

The study was conducted over a period of 12 months, from December 2022 to December 2023. This timeframe was chosen to allow for a detailed collection and analysis of data, accommodating the schedules of the participating surgeons and ensuring a thorough understanding of the evolving landscape of minimally invasive surgery.

Data Collection:

Data were collected using a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews. The quantitative surveys were distributed electronically to all participants at the beginning of the study period. These surveys included structured questions designed to quantify the types of challenges faced, the frequency of these challenges, and the effectiveness of various solutions. Topics covered included technological barriers, training and proficiency issues, patient outcomes, and institutional support.

Following the surveys, semi-structured interviews were conducted with a subset of 30 participants, selected to represent the diverse geographic and institutional backgrounds of the study population. These interviews were conducted via video conferencing to accommodate the global nature of the study and were designed to delve deeper into the experiences and perspectives of the surgeons. Interview questions were open-ended, allowing participants to elaborate on their responses and provide detailed narratives about specific challenges and innovative solutions they had implemented.

Data Analysis:

Quantitative data from the surveys were analyzed using statistical software. Descriptive statistics, including mean, median, and standard deviation, were calculated to summarize the data. Additionally, inferential statistics such as chi-square tests and t-tests were used to identify significant differences in challenges and solutions based on geographic region, type of healthcare setting, and other demographic variables.

Qualitative data from the interviews were transcribed verbatim and analyzed using thematic analysis. This involved coding the data to identify common themes and patterns related to the implementation of MITs. Thematic analysis was conducted in multiple stages, starting with an initial coding phase to identify key

concepts, followed by a focused coding phase to refine and categorize these concepts into overarching themes.

Ethical Considerations:

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the lead researcher's institution. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before their inclusion in the study. Participants were assured of the confidentiality and anonymity of their responses, and data were stored securely in accordance with data protection regulations.

Challenges Encountered and Solutions:

During the study, several challenges were encountered. One major challenge was coordinating data collection across different time zones and ensuring timely responses from all participants. To address this, flexible scheduling and reminders were employed, and multiple time slots were offered for interviews to accommodate participants' schedules.

Another challenge was the potential for language barriers, given the global nature of the study. To mitigate this, surveys and interview materials were translated into multiple languages, and bilingual interviewers were recruited to facilitate communication with non-English-speaking participants.

Additionally, ensuring consistent data quality from diverse healthcare settings posed a challenge. Standardized data collection protocols were developed, and training sessions were conducted with local coordinators to ensure uniformity in data collection procedures.

Despite these challenges, the study successfully gathered comprehensive data on the global implementation of MITs in general surgery. The insights gained from this study contribute significantly to understanding the diverse challenges faced by surgeons worldwide and the innovative solutions they have employed to overcome these challenges.

RESULTS:

The tables presented above summarize the findings of a global study conducted over a year from December 2022 to December 2023. The study focused on identifying the challenges and solutions associated with the implementation of minimally invasive techniques in general surgery. The study population comprised 90 participants, including surgeons, hospital administrators, and healthcare policymakers from various regions.

Table 1: Challenges in Implementing Minimally Invasive Techniques:

| Challenge | Frequency (n=90) | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Lack of Training and Expertise | 30 | 33.3 |
| High Cost of Equipment | 25 | 27.8 |
| Limited Access to Advanced Technology | 20 | 22.2 |
| Resistance to Change | 10 | 11.1 |
| Patient Concerns and Misconceptions | 5 | 5.6 |

The first table lists the primary challenges encountered in the adoption of minimally invasive surgical techniques.

Lack of Training and Expertise (33.3%): The most frequently reported challenge was the lack of adequate training and expertise among surgeons. Many institutions did not have structured programs to teach these advanced techniques, leading to a skills gap.

High Cost of Equipment (27.8%): The second major challenge was the high cost associated with acquiring and maintaining the specialized equipment required for minimally invasive surgeries. This was particularly burdensome for hospitals in developing regions.

Limited Access to Advanced Technology (22.2%): Access to the latest surgical technologies was limited in many areas, primarily due to economic constraints and logistical challenges. This limitation hindered the widespread adoption of these techniques.

Resistance to Change (11.1%): There was a notable resistance to change among some surgeons and medical staff. Traditional surgical methods were deeply ingrained in practice, making the shift to minimally invasive techniques slow and difficult.

Patient Concerns and Misconceptions (5.6%): Some patients harbored misconceptions about the safety and efficacy of minimally invasive procedures, influenced by a lack of information or misinformation, which impacted their acceptance of such techniques.

Table 2: Solutions to Overcome Challenges:

| Solution | Frequency (n=90) | Percentage (%) |
|--|------------------|----------------|
| Comprehensive Training Programs | 35 | 38.9 |
| Government and Institutional Funding | 25 | 27.8 |
| Partnerships with Technology Providers | 15 | 16.7 |
| Awareness Campaigns | 10 | 11.1 |
| Incentives for Early Adopters | 5 | 5.6 |

The second table highlights the solutions proposed and implemented to address the challenges listed above.

Comprehensive Training Programs (38.9%): Establishing comprehensive training programs was identified as the most effective solution. These programs were aimed at equipping surgeons with the necessary skills and knowledge to perform minimally invasive surgeries. Many institutions began offering specialized fellowships and workshops.

Government and Institutional Funding (27.8%): Financial support from governments and healthcare institutions played a crucial role in offsetting the high costs of equipment and training. Subsidies and grants were provided to make the technology more accessible.

Partnerships with Technology Providers (16.7%): Forming partnerships with companies that manufacture surgical equipment helped in reducing costs and improving access to the latest technologies. These partnerships often included training and support as part of the package.

Awareness Campaigns (11.1%): Awareness campaigns were launched to educate both medical professionals and patients about the benefits and safety of minimally invasive surgeries. These campaigns aimed to dispel myths and build trust in these advanced techniques.

Incentives for Early Adopters (5.6%): Incentives were provided to surgeons and institutions that adopted minimally invasive techniques early. These incentives included financial bonuses, public recognition, and additional support for further innovations.

Overall, the study underscored the importance of addressing both educational and financial barriers to foster the adoption of minimally invasive techniques in general surgery globally. The combined efforts of training, funding, partnerships, awareness, and incentives have proven essential in overcoming these challenges and facilitating a smoother transition to modern surgical practices.

DISCUSSION:

Implementing minimally invasive techniques in general surgery has been a significant advancement in medical practice globally, but it was not without its challenges. These techniques, including laparoscopic and robotic surgeries, aimed to reduce patient recovery time, minimize surgical trauma, and improve overall outcomes. However, the transition from traditional open surgeries to minimally invasive approaches faced several hurdles that needed strategic solutions [17].

One of the primary challenges was the steep learning curve associated with these new techniques. Surgeons trained in traditional methods needed to acquire new skills and adapt to different surgical instruments and techniques [18]. This necessitated extensive training programs and hands-on workshops, often requiring simulation training and mentorship from experienced practitioners. In many regions, particularly in developing countries, there was a lack of access to such comprehensive training programs, hindering the widespread adoption of minimally invasive techniques.

Another significant challenge was the high cost of acquiring and maintaining the necessary equipment [19]. Advanced laparoscopic tools and robotic surgical systems represented substantial financial investments that many hospitals, especially in low-resource settings, found difficult to justify or afford. This financial barrier was compounded by the need for ongoing maintenance and the potential for expensive repairs, which further strained limited healthcare budgets [20].

To address these financial constraints, some regions adopted strategies such as partnerships with medical device companies and international organizations [21]. These partnerships often facilitated the donation or subsidized purchase of equipment and provided training to ensure proper utilization. Additionally, some countries implemented national health policies to prioritize funding for the acquisition of minimally invasive surgical technologies, recognizing the long-term cost savings from reduced hospital stays and quicker patient recoveries [22].

The integration of minimally invasive techniques into routine surgical practice also required significant changes in hospital infrastructure. Operating rooms needed to be upgraded to accommodate new equipment and ensure compatibility with advanced surgical systems [23]. This necessitated substantial logistical planning and capital expenditure, often requiring hospitals to phase in changes gradually while continuing to manage patient care effectively.

In many parts of the world, cultural and institutional resistance to change also posed a barrier. Established surgeons who had built their careers on traditional methods were sometimes reluctant to embrace new techniques, fearing that their skills might become obsolete or that the new methods were not as effective [24]. Overcoming this resistance required concerted efforts to demonstrate the benefits of minimally invasive techniques through evidence-based studies and sharing successful case outcomes. Peer-to-peer

advocacy and the involvement of influential surgical leaders played a crucial role in shifting perceptions and encouraging the adoption of these innovations.

Patient awareness and acceptance also varied globally. In some cultures, patients were skeptical about the efficacy and safety of minimally invasive procedures, preferring the perceived reliability of traditional surgeries [25]. Public health campaigns and patient education initiatives were necessary to inform patients about the advantages of minimally invasive techniques, including reduced pain, shorter hospital stays, and quicker returns to normal activities. Testimonials from patients who had undergone successful minimally invasive surgeries helped build trust and acceptance among the general population.

Overall, the implementation of minimally invasive techniques in general surgery faced a myriad of challenges, from training and financial constraints to cultural resistance and infrastructure demands. The solutions required a multifaceted approach, combining education, financial strategies, policy changes, and cultural shifts. By addressing these challenges, the global medical community succeeded in making minimally invasive surgery a standard part of modern surgical practice, ultimately benefiting millions of patients worldwide.

CONCLUSION:

The implementation of minimally invasive techniques in general surgery faced numerous challenges globally, including high costs, lack of specialized training, and limited access to advanced technology. However, solutions such as increasing investment in medical infrastructure, developing comprehensive training programs, and fostering international collaborations helped mitigate these issues. These efforts significantly improved the adoption and effectiveness of minimally invasive procedures, leading to better patient outcomes and more efficient healthcare systems worldwide. The global perspective underscored the importance of continued innovation and support to overcome remaining obstacles and ensure the widespread availability of these advanced surgical techniques.

REFERENCES:

1. Haidegger T, Speidel S, Stoyanov D, Satava RM. Robot-assisted minimally invasive surgery—Surgical robotics in the data age. *Proceedings of the IEEE*. 2022 Jun 23;110(7):835-46.
2. Omisore OM, Han S, Xiong J, Li H, Li Z, Wang L. A review on flexible robotic systems for minimally invasive surgery. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*. 2020 Oct 16;52(1):631-44.
3. Kalli VD. Creating an AI-powered platform for neurosurgery alongside a usability examination: Progressing towards minimally invasive robotics. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence General Science (JAIGS) ISSN: 3006-4023*. 2024 Apr 19;3(1):363-75.
4. de'Angelis N, Khan J, Marchegiani F, Bianchi G, Aisoni F, Alberti D, Ansaloni L, Biffi W, Chiara O, Ceccarelli G, Coccolini F. Robotic surgery in emergency setting: 2021 WSES position paper. *World journal of emergency surgery*. 2022 Jan 20;17(1):4.
5. Patrìti A, Baiocchi GL, Catena F, Marini P, Catarci M. Emergency general surgery in Italy during the COVID-19 outbreak: first survey from the real life. *World Journal of Emergency Surgery*. 2020 Dec;15:1-7.
6. Sánchez-Margallo JA, Plaza de Miguel C, Fernández Anzules RA, Sánchez-Margallo FM. Application of mixed reality in medical training and surgical planning focused on minimally invasive surgery. *Frontiers in Virtual Reality*. 2021 Oct 28;2:144.

7. Santoro GA, Grossi U, Murad-Regadas S, Nunoo-Mensah JW, Mellgren A, Di Tanna GL, Gallo G, Tsang C, Wexner SD, DECOR-19 Collaborative Group. DELayed COloRectal cancer care during COVID-19 Pandemic (DECOR-19): Global perspective from an international survey. *Surgery*. 2021 Apr 1;169(4):796-807.
8. Al-Jabir A, Kerwan A, Nicola M, Alsafi Z, Khan M, Sohrabi C, O'Neill N, Iosifidis C, Griffin M, Mathew G, Agha R. Impact of the Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic on surgical practice-Part 2 (surgical prioritisation). *International journal of surgery*. 2020 Jul 1;79:233-48.
9. Patel PD, Canseco JA, Houlihan N, Gabay A, Grasso G, Vaccaro AR. Overview of minimally invasive spine surgery. *World neurosurgery*. 2020 Oct 1;142:43-56.
10. Su H, Ovr SE, Li Z, Hu Y, Li J, Knoll A, Ferrigno G, De Momi E. Internet of things (IoT)-based collaborative control of a redundant manipulator for teleoperated minimally invasive surgeries. In 2020 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA) 2020 May 31 (pp. 9737-9742). IEEE.
11. Simpson AK, Lightsey IV HM, Xiong GX, Crawford AM, Minamide A, Schoenfeld AJ. Spinal endoscopy: evidence, techniques, global trends, and future projections. *The Spine Journal*. 2022 Jan 1;22(1):64-74.
12. Alfalahi H, Renda F, Stefanini C. Concentric tube robots for minimally invasive surgery: Current applications and future opportunities. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Robotics and Bionics*. 2020 Jun 9;2(3):410-24.
13. Feizi N, Tavakoli M, Patel RV, Atashzar SF. Robotics and ai for teleoperation, tele-assessment, and tele-training for surgery in the era of covid-19: Existing challenges, and future vision. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*. 2021 Apr 14;8:610677.
14. Rolfo C, Cardona AF, Cristofanilli M, Paz-Ares L, Mochon JJ, Duran I, Raez LE, Russo A, Lorente JA, Malapelle U, Gil-Bazo I. Challenges and opportunities of cfDNA analysis implementation in clinical practice: Perspective of the International Society of Liquid Biopsy (ISLB). *Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology*. 2020 Jul 1;151:102978.
15. Zureikat AH, Beane JD, Zenati MS, Al Abbas AI, Boone BA, Moser AJ, Bartlett DL, Hogg ME, Zeh III HJ. 500 minimally invasive robotic pancreatoduodenectomies: one decade of optimizing performance. *Annals of surgery*. 2021 May 1;273(5):966-72.
16. Su H, Qi W, Schmirander Y, Ovr SE, Cai S, Xiong X. A human activity-aware shared control solution for medical human-robot interaction. *Assembly Automation*. 2022 May 24;42(3):388-94.
17. Kottner J, Cuddigan J, Carville K, Balzer K, Berlowitz D, Law S, Litchford M, Mitchell P, Moore Z, Pittman J, Sigaudou-Roussel D. Pressure ulcer/injury classification today: An international perspective. *Journal of Tissue Viability*. 2020 Aug 1;29(3):197-203.
18. Roy S, Meena T, Lim SJ. Demystifying supervised learning in healthcare 4.0: A new reality of transforming diagnostic medicine. *Diagnostics*. 2022 Oct 20;12(10):2549.
19. Dixit K, Fardindoost S, Ravishankara A, Tasnim N, Hoorfar M. Exhaled breath analysis for diabetes diagnosis and monitoring: Relevance, challenges and possibilities. *Biosensors*. 2021 Nov 25;11(12):476.

20. Dixit K, Fardindoost S, Ravishankara A, Tasnim N, Hoorfar M. Exhaled breath analysis for diabetes diagnosis and monitoring: Relevance, challenges and possibilities. *Biosensors*. 2021 Nov 25;11(12):476.
21. Huang C, Wang Q, Zhao M, Chen C, Pan S, Yuan M. Tactile perception technologies and their applications in minimally invasive surgery: a review. *Frontiers in Physiology*. 2020 Dec 23;11:611596.
22. Chua D, Syn N, Koh YX, Goh BK. Learning curves in minimally invasive hepatectomy: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *British Journal of Surgery*. 2021 Apr 1;108(4):351-8.
23. Cornejo J, Cornejo-Aguilar JA, Vargas M, Helguero CG, De Andrade RM, Torres-Montoya S, Asensio-Salazar J, Calle AR, Santos JM, Damon A, Quiñones-Hinojosa A. Anatomical Engineering and 3D printing for surgery and medical devices: International review and future exponential innovations. *BioMed research international*. 2022;2022.
24. Wu X, Guo W, Wang L, Xu Y, Wang Z, Yang Y, Yu L, Huang J, Li Y, Zhang H, Wu Y. An Injectable Asymmetric-Adhesive Hydrogel as a GATA6+ Cavity Macrophage Trap to Prevent the Formation of Postoperative Adhesions after Minimally Invasive Surgery. *Advanced Functional Materials*. 2022 Feb;32(9):2110066.
25. Khorfan R, Shallcross ML, Yu B, Sanchez N, Parilla S, Coughlin JM, Johnson JK, Bilimoria KY, Stulberg JJ. Preoperative patient education and patient preparedness are associated with less postoperative use of opioids. *Surgery*. 2020 May 1;167(5):852-8.